

EVALUATION SCORE SHEET
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR CR 115 WIDENING AND RESURFACING PROJECT
RFQ NO. NC19-021
EVALUATION COMMITTEE MEETING 8/13/19

CRITERIA/SCORE VALUE		POINTS	KCI Technologies, Inc	GAI Consultants	Consor Engineers, LLC	CSI Geo, Inc.	CDM Smith, Inc.
1	Compliance with RFQ Instructions- Compliance shall be graded on a scale of 0 – 5 points.	0-5	5	4	4	5	5
2	Firms Qualifications and Experience - A.The ability and capability of firm to perform services of this type. B.Firm's experience and expertise on similar projects. C.Firm's ability to communicate, work effectively in a group and build consensus with staff, elected officials, board, committees and the public. D.Firm's experience regarding project budgets and schedules, and their demonstrated ability to meet both. Qualifications and Experience shall be graded on a scale of 0 – 20 points.	0-20	18	19	17	18	19

**EVALUATION SCORE SHEET
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR CR 115 WIDENING AND RESURFACING PROJECT
RFQ NO. NC19-021**

CRITERIA/SCORE VALUE		POINTS	KCI Technologies, Inc	GAI Consultants	Consor Engineers, LLC	CSI Geo, Inc.	CDM Smith, Inc.
3	Project Team/Abilities and Expertise – A.The adequacy of the firm’s professional key personnel and project team to be assigned to the project. B.Project team’s previous experience demonstrates success in completing projects. C.Project team’s previous experience program schedule, budget, and technical requirements that are directly relevant to the projects described in this RFQ Team Abilities and Expertise shall be graded on a scale of 0 – 15 points.	0-15	14	14	14	14	15

EVALUATION SCORE SHEET
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR CR 115 WIDENING AND RESURFACING PROJECT
RFQ NO. NC19-021

CRITERIA/SCORE VALUE		POINTS					
4	PROJECT APPROACH – A. Firm's detailed project management plan, interpretation of scope and method of approach. Including any proposed innovative concepts that may enhance value and quality, any favorable cost containment approaches or additional or alternative ideas that may be successful in implemented by Nassau County. B. Firm's methods employed to ensure prompt service, customer satisfaction, and prompt compliant resolution C. Responsibilities and capabilities of the management and staff personnel, including sub-contractors, who will work on the project D. Firm's proposed schedule for services, timely initiation and completion of all work. Project Approach shall be graded on a scale of 0 – 35 points.	0-35	KCI Technologies, Inc	GAI Consultants	Conzor Engineers, LLC	CSI Geo, Inc.	CDM Smith, Inc.
			34	33	30	33	34
5	QUALITY CONTROL – A. The proposal will be evaluated on the quality control process to be implemented to ensure that quality work products and services can be delivered in a timely manner Quality Control shall be graded on a scale of 0 – 10 points.	0-10	10	8	8	10	9

**EVALUATION SCORE SHEET
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR CR 115 WIDENING AND RESURFACING PROJECT
RFQ NO. NC19-021**

CRITERIA/SCORE VALUE		POINTS						
6	PREVIOUS PROJECTS/REFERENCES— A. Firm's references with emphasis on similar size projects. Proposal will be evaluated on the basis of project experiences that include projects outlined in the Scope of Work and Services required. Projects completed for counties and other state or federal agencies will be considered.		KCI Technologies, Inc	GAI Consultants	Conzor Engineers, LLC	CSI Geo, Inc.	CDM Smith, Inc.	
		14	14	13	13	13	14	
		0-15						

EVALUATION SCORE SHEET
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR CR 115 WIDENING AND RESURFACING PROJECT
RFQ NO. NC19-021

CRITERIA/SCORE VALUE	POINTS				
	100				
TOTAL SCORE:					
KCI Technologies, Inc	95	92	86	93	96
GAI Consultants					
Consor Engineers, LLC					
CSI Geo, Inc.					
CDM Smith, Inc.					

Evaluation Committee Signatures:

Robert Companion _____
 Kailey Saver Kailey Saver
 Katie Peay Katie Peay
 Pat Gilroy Pat Gilroy